arXiv:1406.7149v1 [astro-ph.HE] 27 Jun 2014

Periodic X-ray Modulation and its relation with orbital elements in Compact Binaries

Arindam Ghosh[1], S. K. Chakrabarti[1,2]

June 30, 2014

[1]S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700098, India.
[2]Indian Centre for Space Physics, Chalantika 43, Garia Station Rd., Kolkata 700084, India.
arindam.ghosh@boson.bose.res.in; chakraba@bose.res.in

Abstract

Stellar companion of a black hole orbiting in an eccentric orbit will experience modulating tidal force with a periodicity same as that of the orbital period. This, in turn, would modulate accretion rates, and the seed photon flux which are inverse Comptonized to produce harder X-rays. By analyzing complete all sky monitor (ASM) data ($1.5 - 12$ keV) of *RXTE* and all sky survey data ($15 - 50$ keV) of *Swift/BAT* we discover this periodicity in several objects. We also estimate eccentricities from the RMS power of the peak around quasi-orbital periods (QOP). Our method provides an independent way to obtain time periods and eccentricities of such compact binaries.

Keywords: X-ray binary - black hole - accretion discs - Kepler's laws

1 Introduction

It is well known that spectral properties of black holes cannot be explained by a Keplerian disc alone. Along with a standard Keplerian disc, a hot electron component is required (Zdziarski 1988; Haardt et al. 1994; Zhang et al. 2009). In Chakrabarti & Titarchuk (1995) solution, this hot component is created by a low angular momentum, radiatively inefficient flow, which slows down close to the black hole due to centrifugal forces and puffs by resulting heat. This so-called CENtrifugal pressure supported BOundary Layer, or CENBOL, behaves as the Compton cloud and reprocesses low energy (soft) photons into high energy (hard) photons. Detailed analysis of actual satellite data revealed that this so-called two component advective flow solution can explain even subtle aspects of spectral and timing properties of black hole candidates including outflows and quasi-periodic oscillations (Chakrabarti & Manickam 2000; Rao et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2001, 2002a,b, 2007; Wu et al. 2002; Debnath et al. 2010; Soria et al. 2011; Nandi et al. 2012; Cambier & Smith 2013).

It is clear that, generally speaking, all the binary orbits in compact systems should have some eccentricity, howsoever small. In such an orbit, tidal force F_t exerted by the black hole on the companion would be inversely proportional to the cube of the distance

between two components. If semi-major axis and eccentricity were a and e respectively, then

$$F_{t,a} \propto \frac{1}{[a(1+e)]^3},$$

and

$$F_{t,p} \propto \frac{1}{[a(1-e)]^3},$$

would be the net tidal forces at apoastron and periastron respectively. In our context, where we consider the passage around a black hole, we use the terms aponigrumcavum and perinigrumcavum respectively to represent apoastron and periastron respectively ('nigrum cavum' being 'black hole' in Latin). If supply of matter from a companion is assumed to be proportional to this tidal effect, there is clearly a periodic variation of supply rate of matter, both in Keplerian and sub-Keplerian (low angular momentum wind) components. Ratio of the rates would be

$$\frac{\dot{M}_a}{\dot{M}_p} = \frac{(1-e)^3}{(1+e)^3} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_a}{\mathcal{F}_p}, \tag{1}$$

where, $\mathcal{F}$ represents radiation fluxs due to these rates. The second equal sign is valid only for a Keplerian disc where this modulation will propagate in viscous time scales and would enhance seed photons from the Keplerian disc. This will, in turn, increase the flux of Comptonized X-rays. We should therefore expect a modulation of photon flux in X-rays in all the compact binaries whose eccentricity is non-zero. A sub-Keplerian flow, arising out of winds is also expected to be modulated and this flow would arrive in almost free-fall time scale. However, as Chakrabarti & Titarchuk (1995) found, that would only enhance the optical depth of the 'Compton cloud' and will harden the spectra without changing the photon flux significantly.

In the past, Smith et al. (2001, 2002b) pointed out that the *RXTE/ASM* data points to the existence of two components in the accretion flow. They find that there is a distinct time-lag between photon index and flux in low mass X-ray binary systems which accrete primarily through the Roche Lobe overflow, while high mass X-ray binaries, which primarily accrete winds of the companion, does not show such a lag. Boyd, Smale and Dolan (2001) find X-ray modulation in LMC X-3 at the known orbital period of 1.7 days. Brocksopp et al. (1999a) find a similar modulation in Cyg X-1. Smith et al. (2002a), using five years of *RXTE* data of galactic black hole candidates 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258, show that these have periodic modulations of 12.73 ± 0.05 days and 18.45 ± 0.10 days respectively and interpreted these as the orbital modulations. Later, Obst et al. (2013) found this number to be drifting for GRS 1758-258. Kudryavtsev et al. (2004) reported obtaining several such periodicities in the *MIR* satellite data and they identified some of these with periodicites of known objects, such as, H 1705-25, GRO J1655-40, and 4U 1543-47. They did not identify the reasons of such a modulation but concluded that these periodicities may not be connected to eclipse.

In the present paper, we explore this aspect and show that indeed majority of X-ray binaries having a black hole exhibit such a modulation with a periodicity exactly or nearly same as the orbital time period. Since total modulation depends on several factors, such as, mass of compact object, size and mass of companion, etc. which may not be known with certainty, we will concentrate on amplitude ratios which depends only on eccentricity (Eq.(1)). We use publicly available *RXTE/ASM* and *Swift/BAT* all sky survey instruments. We show using Fourier analysis of light curves, and Lomb-Scargle type periodograms that the modulation at quasi-orbital period (QOP) is possibly real.

We estimate eccentricity from Equation (1) as well. In future, we will consider spectral properties and how they are modified by this tidal effects. In the next Section, we present our data analysis technique. In Section 3, we discuss known properties of several stellar mass black hole candidates which are relevant to our work. In Section 4, we present results of our analysis. Finally, in Section 5, we draw our conclusions.

2 Data Analysis

We use ASCII versions of public/archival *RXTE/ASM* dwell by dwell light curve data (MJD 50449 onwards) and *Swift/BAT* orbital light curve data (MJD 53415 onwards) for the X-ray binary sources Cyg X-1, Cyg X-3, H 1705-25, XTE J1650-500, GRS 1758-258, 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1009-45.

The *RXTE/ASM* has a collecting area of 90 cm^2 and is operating over $1.5 - 12$ keV range. The *Swift/BAT* has an all-sky hard X-ray surveyor. It is operating over $15 - 150$ keV with a detecting area of 5200 cm^2. We have used the data of $15 - 50$ keV range. In order to observe long-time behaviour of aforesaid sources, *RXTE/ASM* data for over 13 years and *Swift/BAT* data for about 8 years are used. Neither of the probes obtained data continuously from any particular source, so there are 'gaps' in the data, especially, due to the annual solar constraint. In order to overcome these shortcomings, particularly unevenness of data interval, we use a FORTRAN code for interpolating data at equal time intervals, required for carrying out Fourier analysis. Using the Perl script ASCII2FLC, the FITS files for the light curves suitable for XRONOS are created. These are used to produce the power density spectra (PDS) using POWSPEC task of XRONOS package. A normalization factor of -2 was used. We extracted expected white-noise-subtracted spectra for time bins of 0.01 d, 0.025 d, 0.05 d and 0.1 d, or 14.4 min, 36 min, 72 min and 144 min respectively. Non-constancy of viscosity is expected to flatten the peak and thus we would observe a peak at quasi-orbital period (QOP). Since observed peaks are expected to be Lorentzian type, PDS are fitted with Lorentzian profiles in order to determine centroids of QOPs. All the frequencies are obtained with 1.64σ. We also plot light curves with actual data in modified Julian day (MJD). For convenience, we choose units of frequencies as d^{-1} instead of Hz. For the *Swift* data, photon counts are shown in $m^{-2}s^{-1}$.

In addition to using our own code for interpolating data and getting PDS, we also make periodograms of Lomb-Scargle type for all the sources, exploiting the facility of NASA Exoplanet Archive. This is for an additional check, though statistical significance of the peaks are often questioned. In this period step method, we choose fixed step sizes of 0.01 d, 0.001 d, 0.005 d, 0.0005 d and 0.00025 d depending on the nature of the data and periodicity that we wish to focus on.

3 X-ray Binaries under Consideration

We now present a brief description of binary systems under consideration.

3.1 Cygnus X-1

Cygnus X-1 system is believed to have a stellar mass black hole and a blue supergiant star forming a binary. Orbital period is $5.599829 \pm 0.000016d$ (Brocksopp et al. 1999b). The system does not eclipse. The orbital eccentricity of 0.018 ± 0.003 is very small and thus the

orbit is nearly circular (Bolton 1975; Orosz et al. 2011). There is some uncertainty about the mass of the compact object and its companion. Stellar evolutionary models suggest a mass of $21 \pm 8\ M_\odot$ turning around an O9.7Iab companion of $40 \pm 10\ M_\odot$ (Ziółkowski 2005) while other techniques resulted in $M_1 = 10 M_\odot$. Quasi-Periodic Oscillations (QPOs) in X-ray emission has yielded a more precise value of mass $14.8 \pm 1\ M_\odot$ and a companion mass of $M_2^{opt} = 19.2 \pm 1.9\ M_\odot$ (Orosz et al. 2011). *INTEGRAL/ESA*'s view of Cygnus X-1 reveals that the companion star (HDE 226868) is a supergiant with an estimated surface temperature of 31000 K and a mass of approximately $20 - 40\ M_\odot$. Based on a stellar evolutionary model, at the estimated distance of 2 kpc, the companion may have a radius of about $15 - 17\ R_\odot$ (Orosz et al. 2011) and has a luminosity of approximately $3 - 4 \times 10^5\ L_\odot$ (Ziółkowski 2005). The compact object is estimated to be orbiting its companion at a distance of about 40 $R_\odot$ (Miller et al. 2005) and the system has an inclination $i = 27.1^\circ \pm 0.8^\circ$ (Orosz et al. 2011).

3.2 Cygnus X-3

Cyg X-3 is an accreting X-ray binary with a relativistic jet, observed from radio to high-energy gamma-rays. It consists of a black hole of mass $1.3 - 4.5\ M_\odot$ (Zdziarski et al. 2013) which is wind-fed by a Wolf-Rayet star. Its distance is about ~ 7 kpc. It is a high-mass X-ray binary as the companion (V1521 Cyg) is a high-mass star, having a mass of $7.5 - 14.2\ M_\odot$. The orbital period is about 4.8 h (Parsignault et al. 1972; Davidsen & Ostriker 1974) with an inclination of $34^\circ - 54^\circ$ (Zdziarski et al. 2013). Binary separation is $d \sim 3 \times 10^{11}\ cm$. The system is known to have a small but nonzero eccentricity (Saia & Stark 2002). The companion has a strong wind ($\dot{M}_w \sim 10^{-5} M_\odot\ yr^{-1}, v_w \sim 1000\ km\ s^{-1}$) (Dubus et al. 2010a). Scattering in the wind washes out rapid X-ray variability timescales and also modulates X-ray emission. So it is not certain if the compact object is a black hole or a neutron star. Fermi observations show that high energy gamma-ray flux is modulated with the orbital period. Gamma-ray modulation is almost in anti-phase with X-ray modulation (Dubus et al. 2010b).

3.3 XTE J1650-500

XTE J1650-500 is a stellar mass black hole candidate probably having a mass of $3.8 \pm 0.5\ M_\odot$ (Shaposhnikov & Titarchuk 2009). A safer mass range is $2.7 - 7.3\ M_\odot$ (Orosz et al. 2004). The binary period is 0.32 d and a lower limit to the inclination of $50^\circ \pm 3^\circ$ (Orosz et al. 2004).

3.4 H 1705-25

It is a binary system with a small and cool star having about 0.3 $M_\odot$ (Orosz & Baily 1997) as a companion to the black hole. The orbital period is about 12.5 h (Johannsen et al. 2009). Detection of an orbital and ellipsoidal modulation at a period of 16.8 h with an orbital inclination in the range $48^\circ < i < 51^\circ$ and distance $2 - 8.4$ kpc were also reported earlier (Martin et al. 1995). From the characteristics of the companion star and its orbit, estimated mass of the black hole is $6 \pm 2\ M_\odot$ (Johannsen et al. 2009).

3.5 GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942

GRS 1758-258 is often referred to as a twin source with 1E 1740.7-2942. Both are persistent sources above $\sim 50\ keV$ and are located in the vicinity of the Galactic centre. They

emit X-rays and display relativistic jets in the radio band. The X-ray luminosity, hard spectra, persistent activity and the shape of the power spectra in 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258 have made these two objects comparable to Cyg X-1 (Main et al. 1999). Remoteness and high column density towards GRS 1758-258 does not allow observations below (25 keV). Search for a counterpart in optical and infrared has not turned up any distinct result but only two or more candidates were found within $1''$. Weak jet structure reveals that GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942 are microquasars (Keck et al. 2001).

The mass of the companion of the black hole in GRS 1758-258 is $M_2 \leq 4M_\odot$ and in 1E 1740.7-2942 is $M_2 \leq 9M_\odot$. The presence of a well-collimated radio jet in 1E 1740.7-2942 is an indicative of a stable accretion disc. Companion of either of these two sources is not able to feed the black hole via its stellar wind and they are powered by binary accretion via Roche lobe overflow. But these two systems are LMXBs if most of their X-ray emission is due to Roche lobe accretion with companion mass $\sim 1\ M_\odot$. Apparent association of the source with a high-density molecular cloud hints at a possible accretion directly from the ISM. The binary period in both cases is likely to be shorter than 20 h (Chen et al. 1994). Assuming that the optical component in GRS 1758-258 is a main-sequence star and $M_1 \geq 3\ M_\odot$ & $M_2 < 4\ M_\odot$, the period is $\leq 5.8\ h$ and $M_2 \sim 0.65\ M_\odot$ (Kuznetsov et al. 1999).

4 Results of our Analysis

On the left of Figure 1, we present light curves of Cyg X-3, H 1705-250 and XTE J1650-500 as obtained from the ASM data ($1.5 - 12$ keV) of *RXTE*. The data duration is about 5200 days. On the right of Figure 1, we show light curves of the same objects, but drawn using all sky survey data of *Swift/BAT* ($15 - 50$ keV). Data duration is about 2900 days and the counts are in units of m^{-2}. In Figure 2, we show power density spectra (PDS) of these light curves. Frequency is written in d^{-1} unit. Fitted Lorentzians are shown. First three objects have very broad Lorentzians. Centroid periods are marked in each box. Interestingly, the periods obtained from both *RXTE* and *Swift* are in the same ball-park. In Figure 3, we present light curves of two enigmatic candidates GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942. In the left and right columns, results are respectively from *RXTE* and *Swift* satellites. In Figure 4, PDS of these four lightcurves are shown. Apparent periodicities are around $\sim 3 - 4$ d for both of GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942.

In order to have an additional check on the PDS obtained, we draw Lomb-Scargle type periodograms for these objects. In Figure 5, we show periodograms drawn directly from *RXTE* data in the left column and from *Swift* data on the right column. Though several distinct peaks are seen in many of these data, we see evidence of periodicities having values similar to what we obtained through PDS. These periods are marked in each box. For H 1705-25, the entire data chunk (*Swift*) produced several almost equal powered peaks. So we selected a 100 day chunk and found the evidence of ~ 12 h period, marked inside.

It is intriguing that Cyg X-1 did not show any prominent periodicity in *Swift* data, but PDS (Fig. 6b) and periodogram (Fig. 6c) of *RXTE* light curve (Fig. 6a) did show a peak at ~ 5.6 d. We separately plot another intriguing case of GRS 1009-45, light curves and PDS of which are shown in Figure 7 & Figure 8 respectively. Left panel is from *RXTE* data and right panel is from *Swift* data. Peaks are found to be very weak, but surprisingly they have centroid periods close to QOPs of the object.

Table 1 summarizes results of our analysis. Known system parameters are also given.



Figure 1: Light Curves with *RXTE/ASM* data (left) and *Swift/BAT* all sky survey data (right).

In Column 1, names of the systems are given. In Cols. 2 and 3, we present known masses of black holes and companions. In Cols. 4 and 5, we present inclination angles and periods as reported in the literature. In Cols. 6 and 7, we present centroid periods T as obtained from power density spectra (PDS) of complete data set from *Swift* (Col. 6) and *RXTE* (Col. 7) after fitting peaks with Lorenztian. In Cols. 8 and 9 we present periods obtained from Lomb-Scargle periodogram. Finally, in Col. 10, we present estimated eccentricity (e) of the orbits. For this, we first obtained rms value of the Lorentzian which fits the periodicity. For high mass X-ray binaries, such as Cyg X-1 and Cyg X-3, the rms values obtained from the fitted Lorentzian were found to be very small, namely, 0.067 and 0.089 respectively. We compute eccentricities for these objects from

$$\frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{3e + e^3}{1 + 3e^2},$$

as derived from Equation (1). Eccentricities turned out to be 0.022 for Cyg X-1 and 0.03 for Cyg X-3 respectively. For the rest of the objects, rms values were very high, larger than 100%! For these, we assume that rms value is directly proportional to $\frac{N_p}{N_a}$, ratio of photon counts at the perinigrumcavum and aponigrumcavum respectively. This yields larger, yet sensible, eccentricities for these objects. Our estimate of eccentricity for Cyg X-1 (0.022) agrees with other estimation of 0.018 ± 0.003 (Bolton 1975; Orosz et al. 2011) for this object. The eccentricity of 0.03 for Cyg X-3 could also be convincing. So we are



Figure 2: Power Density Spectra with *RXTE/ASM* data (left) and *Swift/BAT* all sky survey data (right). Peaks due to QOPs are fitted with Lorentzians. Centroid periods are marked.

hopeful that other e-values which we put in Table 1 may represent actual eccentricities of the respective orbits.

5 Discussion and Conclusions

From the point of view statistics, it is unlikely that orbits of compact X-ray binaries can be exactly circular. Even if eccentricity is very low, tidal force (which depends on the inverse cube of the distance between the stars) exerted by a black hole on its companion could significantly modulate mass accretion rate in an orbit. Smaller distance during a perinigrumcavum passage would enhance mass accretion rates and larger distance during an aponigrumcavum passage would reduce it. However, viscous time scale would introduce a time lag to these modulations. The time lag would be constant for constant viscosity, otherwise, there would be a spread causing the photon light curves to have quasi-orbital periodicity (QOP). There could be many factors, such as, non-locking of spin and orbital angular momentum of the companion, large variation of viscosity, possible magnetic effects which may enhance disc accretion rates, eclipsing of Compton clouds, which can



Figure 3: Light Curves with *RXTE/ASM* (left) and *Swift/BAT* (right) data.



Figure 4: Power Density Spectra with *RXTE/ASM* (left) and *Swift/BAT* (right) data.

wash out such a modulation. However, we still find in several objects that modulation period is almost same as the estimated time periods obtained from other methods. For high mass X-ray binaries, the rms values at the peak of power density spectra gives directly e assuming that the modulation is solely due to tidal effects. For other objects discussed here, Eq.(1) is to be used directly to obtain e. Surprisingly, for Cyg X-1, for which the eccentricity was known from previous studies, our result agrees. For other cases, we present the estimates in Table 1.



Figure 5: Periodograms with *RXTE/ASM* (left) and *Swift/BAT* (right) data. Vertical axes represent Lomb norm. Prominent periods are written.

Table 1: **Estimated orbital parameters of X-Ray Binaries**

X-Ray Binary (BH/BHC)	M_1 ($M_\odot$)	M_2 ($M_\odot$)	Inclination (i°)	Period (T)	T (PDS) (*Swift*)	T (PDS) (*RXTE*)	T (LS Periodogram) (*Swift*)	T (LS Periodogram) (*RXTE*)	e
Cyg X-1	14.8 ± 1^a	19.2 ± 1.9^a	27.1 ± 0.8^a	5.6 d^b	-	$5.6^{+0.27}_{-0.25}$ d	-	5.6 d	0.022
Cyg X-3	$2.4^{+2.1}_{-1.1}{}^c$	$10.3^{+3.9}_{-2.8}{}^c$	$34 - 54^c$	4.8 $h^{c,d}$	$4.9^{+0.38}_{-0.33}$ h	$4.8^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$ h	4.8 h	4.8 h	0.030
H 1705-25	6 ± 2^e	0.3^f	$48 - 51^g$	12.5 h^e	$12.3^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ h	$14.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}$ h	11.8 h	12.4 h	0.37
XTE J1650-500	$2.7 - 7.3^{h,i}$	-	50 ± 3^h	7.63/9.12 h^h	$8.6^{+0.97}_{-0.79}$ h	$10.4^{+1.8}_{-1.3}$ h	8.2/10 h	8 h	0.39
GRS 1758-258	$\geq 3^j$	0.65^j	-	~ 6 h^j	$3.0^{+0.87}_{-0.54}$ d	$3.8^{+0.71}_{-0.51}$ d	4.17 d	3.8 d	0.45
1E 1740.7-2942	-	$1 - 9^k$	-	< 20 h^k	$3.65^{+0.75}_{-0.53}$ d	$3.67^{+0.82}_{-0.56}$ d	3.7 d	3.66 d	0.23
GRS 1009-45	$> 3.1^l$	-	$> 37^{l,m}$	6.86 h^m	$9.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ h	$9.2^{+1.6}_{-1.2}$ h	6.8 h	8 h	0.45

[a]Orosz et al. (2011), [b]Brocksopp et al. (1999b), [c]Zdziarski et al. (2013), [d]Davidsen & Ostriker (1974), [e]Johannsen et al. (2009), [f]Orosz & Baily (1997), [g]Martin et al. (1995), [h]Orosz et al. (2004), [i]Shaposhnikov & Titarchuk (2009), [j]Kuznetsov et al. (1999), [k]Chen et al. (1994), [l]Kubota et al. (1998), [m]Shahbaz et al. (1996).



Figure 6: (a) Light curve of Cyg X-1 from *RXTE/ASM* data. (b) PDS and (c) Periodogram of the light curve.

Properties of emissions from accretion discs around black holes are largely sensitive to outer boundary conditions of the discs. These in turn, depend on properties of the companion. We therefore need to understand long term properties of mass-loss of the companion if we wish to predict X-ray properties beyond a few hours. A random variation of viscosity, for instance, can change the accretion rates in Keplerian and sub-Keplerian components at various time scales. This, together with the tidal effects may wash out the QOP in light curves. It is thus surprising that we do see this QOP in PDS or periodograms even when we analyze several years of data together. As discussed already, we anticipate a similar modulation in spectral index, since the sub-Keplerian winds would also be modulated in a different time scale that the Keplerian discs. However dependence of QPOs and QOPs are totally different on energy bands as their origins are different. This study will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank NASA Archive for *RXTE* and *Swift* data used in this paper.



Figure 7: Light Curves of GRS 1009-45 with *RXTE/ASM* (left) and *Swift/BAT* (right) data.



Figure 8: Power Density spectra of GRS 1009-45 with (a) *RXTE/ASM* and (b) *Swift/BAT* data. Periods are written.

References

[1] Bolton, C. T. 1975, ApJ, 200, 269

[2] Boyd, P. T., Smale, A. P., & Dolan, J. F. 2001, ApJ, 555, 822

[3] Bradt, H., 2008, Astrophysical Processes (1st ed., Cambridge Univ. Press)

[4] Brocksopp, C., Fender, R. P., Larionov, V., et al. 1999a, MNRAS, 309, 1063

[5] Brocksopp, C., Tarasov, A. E., Lyuty, V. M., & Roche, P. 1999b, A&A, 343, 861

[6] Cambier, H. J., & Smith, D. M. 2013, ApJ, 767, 46

[7] Chakrabarti, S. K., & Manickam, S. G. 2000, ApJ, 531. L41

[8] Chakrabarti, S. K., & Titarchuk, L. G. 1995, ApJ, 455, 623

[9] Chen, W., Gehrels, N., & Leventhal, M. 1994, ApJ, 426, 586

[10] Davidsen, A., & Ostriker, J. P. 1974, ApJ, 189, 331

[11] Debnath, D., Chakrabarti, S. K., & Nandi A. 2010, A&A, 520, 98

[12] Dubus, G., Cerutti, B., & Henri, G. 2010a, MNRAS, 404, L55

[13] Dubus, G., Cerutti, B., & Henri, G. 2010b, A&A, 516, A18

[14] Gou, L., McClintock, J. E., Reid M.J., et al. 2011, ApJ, 742, 85

[15] Haardt, F., Maraschi, L., & Ghisellini, G. 1994, ApJ, 432, 95

[16] Johannsen, T., Psaltis, D., & McClintock, J. E. 2009, ApJ, 691, 997

[17] Keck, J. W., Craig, W. W., Hailey, C. J., et al. 2001, ApJ, 563, 301

[18] Kubota, A., Tanaka, Y., Makishima, K., et al. 1998, PASJ, 50, 667.

[19] Kudryavtsev, M. I., Svertilov, S. I., & Bogomolov, V. V. 2004, preprint (astro-ph/0404188)

[20] Kuznetsov, S. I., Gilfanov, M. R., Churazov, E. M., et al. 1999, AstL, 25, 351

[21] Main, D. S., Smith, D. M., Heindl, W. A., et al. 1999, ApJ, 525, 901

[22] Martin, A. C., Casares, J., Charles, P.A., van der Hooft, F., & van Paradijs, J. 1995, MNRAS, 274, L46

[23] Miller, J. M., Wojdowski, P., Schulz, N. S., et al. 2005, ApJ., 620, 398

[24] Nandi, A., Debnath, D., Mandal, S., & Chakrabarti, S. K. 2012, A&A, 542, 56

[25] Obst, M., Pottschmidt, K., Lohfink, A., et al. 2013, AAS, HEAD meeting, 13, 126.54

[26] Orosz, J. A., & Baily C.D. 1997, ApJ, 477, 876

[27] Orosz, J. A., McClintock, J. E., Remillard, R. A., & Corbel, S. 2004, ApJ, 616, 376

[28] Orosz, J. A., McClintock, J. E., Aufdenberg, J. P., et al. 2011, ApJ, 742, 84

[29] Parsignault, D. R., Brinkman, A. C., Kellogg, E. M., et al. 1972, Nature Phys. Sci., 239, 123

[30] Rao, A. R., Naik, S., Vadawale, S. V., & Chakrabarti, S. K. 2000, A&A, 360, L25

[31] Saia, M., & Stark, M. J. 2002, BAAS, 34, 1200

[32] Shahbaz, T., van der Hooft, F., Casares, J., Charles, P. A., & van Paradijs, J. 1996, MNRAS, 282, L47

[33] Shaposhnikov, N., & Titarchuk L. G. 2009, ApJ, 699, 453

[34] Smith, D. M., Heindl, W. A., Markwardt, C. B., & Swank, J. H. 2001, ApJ, 554, L41

[35] Smith, D. M., Heindl, W. A., & Swank, J. H. 2002a, ApJ, 578, L129

[36] Smith, D. M., Heindl, W. A., & Swank, J. H. 2002b, ApJ, 569, 362

[37] Smith, D. M., Dawson, D. M., & Swank, J. H. 2007, ApJ, 669, 1138

[38] Soria, R., Broderick, J. W., Hao, J., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 410

[39] Wu, K., Soria, R., Campbell, W. D., et al. 2002, ApJ, 565, 1161

[40] Zdziarski, A. A., Mikołajewska, J., & Belczyński, K. 2013, MNRAS, 429, L104

[41] Zdziarski, A. A. 1988, MNRAS, 233, 739

[42] Zhang, S., Chen, Y. P., Wang, J. M., Torres, D. F., & Li, T. P. 2009, A&A, 502, 231

[43] Ziółkowski, J. 2005, MNRAS, 358, 851